



**Faculty Council
Artificial Intelligence Committee
Tuesday, August 27th, 2026
Zoom**

MINUTES

Attending:

Joanne Addison (UCD), Diana White (UCD), Wendy Bolyard (UCD), Melanie Joy (Anschutz), Ernesto Salcedo (Anschutz), Benjamin Scott (Anschutz), Nesrine Mansour (UCB), Jed Brown (UCB), Darija Medic (UCD), Emily Mooney (UCCS), Kacey Ross (UCCS), David Paradis (UCB)

1. Review AI Committee Charge

- Joanne reviewed the proposed committee charge, which is also under review by Faculty Council Executive Committee.
- The committee's primary role will be to interpret existing Regent Law and Policy and APS 6012, provide guidance to faculty, identify concerns across campuses, and help faculty understand their rights and responsibilities related to AI.
- The initial focus will be on developing practical guidance for faculty, with more in-depth analysis and reporting anticipated later in the semester.
- Members discussed current AI-related issues across the campuses, including:
 - Faculty involvement and shared governance in decisions regarding AI tools and features.
 - Campus versus system-level decision making and differences in access among user groups.
 - Data privacy, intellectual property, HIPAA/clinical considerations, and student data.
 - Costs and access to AI tools.
 - AI detection tools, wearable AI technology, and use of personally obtained AI tools.
 - The need for clearer and more consistent information from campus and system leadership.
- Members were encouraged to review the committee charge and APS 6012 before the next meeting.
- Jed Brown was selected to serve as Vice Chair.

2. Create Workplan

- The committee discussed developing a series of concise, one-page guidance documents for faculty. An initial draft focused on Academic Freedom and AI in Teaching.
- Members supported a user-friendly format that:
 - Leads with practical guidance.
 - Includes the governing principles and applicable Regent Law, Policy, and APS provisions.
 - Provides direct links to relevant source documents.



- The committee may later supplement the one-pagers with videos or other resources, but agreed that short written guidance should be the first priority.
- Members also supported developing guidance directed toward administrators regarding faculty governance requirements associated with AI.
- Proposed workflow:
 - Joanne will prepare initial drafts.
 - Committee members will review and revise them.
 - Drafts will be reviewed for alignment with Regent Law and Policy.
 - Final drafts will be brought to Faculty Council for approval before distribution.
- Because updates cannot currently be added to the Faculty Council website until at least October, materials will be shared on FC SharePoint.
- Committee members were given edit access to the working documents (currently on Google Drive) and encouraged to provide feedback.
- Members submitted their top priorities for guidance documents:
 - Guidance on Academic Freedom in relation to AI and teaching
 - Guidance on AI in research and creative works
 - Guidance on Intellectual Property, including educational materials and AI
 - Academic Integrity / Student Code of Conduct
 - Responding to unauthorized student AI use
 - Professional rights and responsibilities in assessment, including students, hiring, and promotion
 - AI guidance for administrators
 - AI ethical guidelines
 - AI infrastructure guidelines and best practices

3. Next Steps

- Members will review the committee charge, APS 6012, and draft guidance materials.
- Joanne will review the committee's proposed guidance priorities and continue drafting one-pagers.
- Future meetings will begin with a brief campus updates of emerging AI issues.
- The next meeting will continue discussion of the charge and begin substantive work on the guidance documents.

Next Meeting: Thursday, September 10, 2026, 10:30–11:45 a.m. via Zoom.