



**APS JUSTIFICATION**

**Policy Title:** Capital Construction Planning and Projects

**APS Number:** 3002

**APS Functional Area:** **FACILITIES**

<b>Date Submitted:</b>	January 9, 2020
<b>Proposed Action:</b>	Revision
<b>Brief Description:</b>	This policy guides the process to plan, maintain, and build new facilities.
<b>Desired Effective Date:</b>	August 1, 2020
<b>Responsible University Officer:</b>	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
<b>Responsible Office:</b>	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
<b>Policy Contact:</b>	Senior Director of Capital Assets, 303-860-6205
<b>Last Reviewed/Updated:</b>	April 9, 2015
<b>Applies to:</b>	All Campuses and President’s Office

**Reason for Policy:** Promotes the efficient use and effective stewardship of the physical infrastructure of the university; aids in planning for facilities which support the university's teaching, research, and public service mission; and assists in compliance with state policies and statutes.

**I. REASON FOR PROPOSED ACTION**

A periodic review of the policy by the policy contact revealed that a Board of Regent monetary review threshold included in the policy was out of date. Additional changes were made to address technical corrections and updates and to clarify policy language to reflect current law. (The existing policy language references recent legislative changes. It has been almost a decade since the most substantive legislative changes were made.) Finally, during review of the policy, additional changes were made upon request of campus personnel and as a result of strategic planning during 2019 and early 2020.

Specific substantive changes include:

- p2, lines 94-95, updates the BOR monetary review thresholds. The monetary review threshold in Article 13.A.1 is \$5 million. However, legislative monetary review thresholds for certain cash-funded projects necessitate both a \$2 million and \$5 million BOR review threshold;
- Appendix A, page 1, lines 264-265 and 267-268, adds to program planning requirements. These changes were suggested as part of the strategic planning process by the Deferred Maintenance and Sustainability Working Group. (Corresponding changes are shown in the chart on page 2 of Appendix A and with the addition of Appendix E); and
- Appendix C, page 2, line 395, acknowledges the potential role of a P-3 in new building construction. (This change was requested by UCCS.)

In March, a change was made to the policy to expand the membership of the Design Review Board. This change was requested by President Kennedy.

## II. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN THE POLICY REVIEW

- CFOs
- Design Review Board (Appendix C)
- Facilities Directors Group

## III. LEGAL REVIEW

- a. Do you think legal review would be required for these proposed changes? **Yes**
- b. Date legal review completed: **4/10/2020**
- c. Person completing legal review: **Julie Steeler**

- IV. **FISCAL REVIEW** Are there any financial (human resources, technology, operations, training, etc.) or other resource impacts of implementing this policy (e.g., cost savings, start-up costs, additional time for faculty or staff, new systems, or software)? **Yes**. If yes, please explain: **There will be some increased costs to print additional meeting materials and pay for meals. These costs can be paid from the existing budget.**