Policy 3E: Appendix A: Positive Voting Process

Appendix A: Positive Voting Process

Voting method and process for moving candidates through the Presidential Search Committee (PSC). (See Policy 3.E: Searches for Administrators and Guidelines for the Appointment of Chief Officers of the University)

The Presidential Search Committee (PSC) will use a positive voting process which allows each member to move forward the candidates who, in that member's judgment, are the most outstanding. The process immediately promotes harmony and positive direction in the search process, since candidates are moved forward according to the desires of each member of the PSC, rather than the possibility of being directly eliminated at an early stage. Committee members cannot veto any member's top candidates merely because a small group does not want him or her.

When identifying which candidate's files will be more carefully reviewed by the entire committee, each member will move names forward. Thus the PSC would carefully review candidates, each of whom has been identified by at least one search committee member. The larger the field, the larger the number of candidates each member will select. If the field is 100 or over, the number will be 10 for each committee member to choose. This number is on the high side to emphasize the positive aspect of this method. Since the initial field will have some who are obviously not qualified, many members will, of course, be choosing many of the same candidates. At each step of the process, logically some candidates are named by a number of committee members, while some may only be named by one committee member. Experience bears out that the field will generally be reduced by 50 percent to 70 percent. It is not a problem to have a large field after the first round of voting, as it preserves the spirit of the positive voting process. A larger field simply means one or two additional total rounds of voting will occur.

After the review of those candidates moved forward, the next round of voting continues this process, with each member again moving forward those candidates he/she judges to be the
most outstanding, The number each member can choose is smaller than the previous round, which then produces an even shorter list of candidates.

Important Note - candidates may be added as the search process proceeds. In no way should the winnowing procedure preclude the addition of a candidate, even if a cut-off date has been announced and has passed.

The later stages of the process continue to move forward candidates to interview. For example, if there are 20 candidates remaining and the committee wants to winnow the list to 10 to interview, each PSC member will select up to 8 names to move forward. After the votes are tallied, the top 10 names are then selected to be interviewed. Likewise, when the committee wants to move from 10 candidates to five, for example, each PSC member has up to four names to forward. After the votes are tallied, the top five names are forwarded.

Note - the PSC could agree to add a person down the list for an interview, for any number of factors.

It is generally recommended that the number of interviews be a maximum of twice the number of candidates the PSC plans to forward to the Board of Regents for consideration.

After the interviews, the process for names to be forwarded to the Board of Regents will be accomplished just like the previous stage of voting for all candidates left.

Note - it is very important that all interviews be done back to back and that the discussion of the candidates interviewed should take place immediately after the final interview, with voting for final ranking immediately following that discussion. It is recommended that a maximum of four interviews be conducted in one day.

The Board of Regents may or may not want the ranking of those forwarded. At that time the Board of Regents could name one or more finalists; interview all, some, or none of those brought forward then name one or more finalists, or reject all of them; or could reject all of them without interviews. If all candidates are rejected, the search by the PSC would continue.

Those brought forward to the Board of Regents are not finalists. They are the recommendations of the PSC for the Board of Regents to consider, at this point. Before the Board of Regents identifies any candidate as a finalist, the chair of the presidential search committee shall notify the candidate of the Board of Regents? intention and obtain the candidate?s permission to advance him or her as a finalist.
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