1	Article 5
2	Part A Faculty Governance
3	Part B Academic Freedom
4	Part C Faculty Appointments and Tenure
5	Part D Faculty Grievance
6	
7	Regent Policies
8	5A Faculty Governance
9	5B Academic Freedom
10	5C Faculty Appointments
11	5D Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion
12	5E Tenured and Tenure-Track Dismissal for Cause
13	5F Termination of Faculty Appointments Following Program Discontinuance
14	5G Faculty Grievance
15	5H Intellectual Property (to come later; current 5J and 5K remain in effect until then)
16	
17	
18	
19	ARTICLE 5: FACULTY
20	
20	Part A: Faculty Governance
21	5.A.1 Principle of Shared Governance
22	(A) The Regents of the University of Colorado is the governing board charged with the
23	governance of the university. It is a guiding principle of the shared governance
24	recognized by the Board of Regents that the faculty and the administration shall
25 26	collaborate in major decisions affecting the academic welfare of the university. The nature of that collaboration, shared as appropriate with students and staff, varies
27	according to the nature of the decisions in question.
• •	
28 29	 (B) Tenured and tenure-track faculty with appropriate participation by instructional, research, and clinical faculty have the principal responsibility for decisions
30	concerning pedagogy, curriculum, research, scholarly or creative work, academic
31	ethics, and recommendations on the selection and evaluation of faculty. The
32	development of general academic policies shall be a collaborative effort between
33	the faculty and administration.
34	In light of the differences in expectations of faculty at the Anschutz Medical
35	Campus, particularly those with clinical responsibilities, the practice of shared
36	governance may differ from the other campuses. The review and evaluation of
37 38	faculty and how faculty and/or faculty governance groups participate in the preparation of unit budgets may differ from the other campuses and will be
39	incorporated into bylaws, policies and procedures that will be approved at the
40	primary unit, school/college and campus levels using a shared governance
41	process.

42 43 44 45		(C)	exterr cours	dministration has the principal responsibility for the internal operations and nal relations of the university. Issues concerning the academic calendar, e scheduling, and appropriate teaching modality modalities shall be mined in collaboration with the faculty.
46 47 48 49		(D)	opera	ery case, the faculty and the administration collaborate in the governance and tion of the university as provided by, and in accordance with, the laws and es of the Board of Regents and the laws and regulations of the state of ado.
50 51		(E)		overnance roles and responsibilities of the faculty are further elaborated in nt Policy 5.A.
52	5.A.2	Faci	ulty Gov	vernment
53 54		(A)		aculty shall form a Faculty Senate for the purpose of faculty participation in d governance and other activities deemed important by the faculty.
55			(1)	The university president shall be the president of the Faculty Senate.
56 57 58			. ,	Faculty Senate membership shall be defined in the Faculty Senate constitution. Voting membership shall include those members who have a faculty appointment of 0.5 FTE or greater.
59 60		(B)		aculty Senate constitution shall provide a system for participation of faculty in overnance of the university.
61 62		(C)	•	ecific matters of shared governance, the Faculty Senate shall assign a sperson to address the Board of Regents.
63 64 65		(D)	each:	stent with the governance responsibilities articulated in Regent Policy 4.A, school/college/library shall have a faculty governance body. The structure perating rules shall be determined by the school/college/library faculty.
66	Part B	: Aca	demic	Freedom
67	5.B.1	Free	dom of	Inquiry and Discourse as a Core Principle of the University
68 69 70 71		(A)	liberal profess	niversity of Colorado was created and is maintained to afford individuals an education in the several branches of literature, arts, sciences, and the sions and to create knowledge through the pursuit of research. These aims achieved only in an atmosphere of free inquiry and discourse.
72 73		(B)		re principle of free inquiry and discourse is recognized by the Board of ts as academic freedom.
74 75 76		(C)	in Artic	mbers of the university community have the right to free expression as stated the 1.E. of Regent Law and further elaborated in Regent Policy 1.D; however, that is distinct from academic freedom.
77	5.B.2	Prin	ciples c	of Academic Freedom
78 79		(A)		emic freedom is the freedom to inquire, discover, access, publish, minate, and teach truth as the individual understands it, subject to no control

80 81			or authority save the control and authority of the rational methods by which knowledge is established in the field.
82 83 84 85 86		(B)	All university employees, whose duties include independent teaching, scholarly or creative work are afforded the right of academic freedom relative to these duties (see the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement) and have the right to grieve perceived violations of academic freedom through the Faculty Senate grievance process.
87 88		(C)	The rights and responsibilities associated with the principles of academic freedom are elaborated in Regent Policy 5.B.
89	Part C	: Fac	culty Appointments and Tenure
90	5.C.1	Facu	ulty Appointments
91 92 93 94 95		(A)	The faculty directly serves the teaching, research, service, and health care missions of the university and each faculty member plays a distinct role in achieving these missions. The types of faculty appointments, as further discussed in Regent Policy 5.C, reflect the different faculty roles and responsibilities that exist within the university.
96 97 98 99		(B)	Faculty appointments shall be made in accordance with section 2.A.4(B) of Regent Law or section 3.A.1(G)(1) of Regent Policy. Appointments may only be terminated in accordance with applicable regent laws and policies, campus policies, and applicable state and federal law.
100 101 102		(C)	Faculty tenure, privileges and rights as enumerated in Regent Law and Policy, shall conform to the constitutions, laws, and regulations of the United States and the state of Colorado.
103	5.C.2	Ten	ure
104 105		(A)	Tenure-track faculty are awarded tenure in the university based upon the recommendation of the president and the approval of the Board of Regents.
106 107 108		(B)	A tenured appointment shall be held only by a professor or associate professor. When a faculty member is hired at the rank of associate or full professor, the award of tenure remains subject to Board of Regents approval.
109 110		(C)	The standards and procedures to be employed in making recommendations throughout the tenure process shall be in accordance with Regent Policy 5.D.
111	5.C.3	Instr	ructional, Research, and Clinical Faculty
112 113 114		(A)	The Board of Regents recognizes the importance of all members of the faculty in achieving the teaching, research, service, and health care missions of the university.
115 116 117		(B)	The Board of Regents further recognizes that each campus has a distinct role and mission that directly affects the extent and manner to which they employ the expertise of teaching instructional, research, and clinical faculty.

118 The Board of Regents, subject to the framework provided in the corresponding 119 Administrative Policy Statement, delegates to the chancellor of each campus the 120 responsibility to develop-maintain appropriate policies for the appointment. 121 reappointment, promotion, and termination of instructional, research, or clinical 122 faculty. Campus policies shall also include grievance rights of appeal and 123 associated procedures for instructional, research, and clinical faculty. All policies 124 shall be developed in collaboration with the appropriate faculty governance bodies 125 and must adhere to state law, Regent Law and Policy, and any associated 126 Administrative Policy Statements. 127 5.C.4 Dismissal for Cause 128 The administration may terminate a tenured or tenure-track faculty appointment for 129 cause when in the judgment of the Board of Regents and subject to the Board of 130 Regents constitutional and statutory authority, the good of the university requires 131 such action. 132 The grounds for dismissal shall be demonstrable professional incompetence: 133 conviction, whether by a plea or a verdict of guilty or following a plea of nolo 134 contendere, for any felony or any offense involving moral turpitude; violation 135 of university policies pertaining to discrimination, sexual misconduct, or fiscal misconduct; violation of the weapons control policy; material or repeated 136 137 neglect of duty; or other conduct that falls below minimum standards of 138 professional integrity. 139 (B) Dismissal for cause proceedings for tenured and tenure-track faculty shall follow 140 the rules and procedures stated in Regent Policy 5.E. Only the Board of Regents 141 may revoke tenure. 142 Nonrenewal of a tenure-track appointment shall not be regarded as dismissal (1) 143 for cause and the provisions of Regent Policy 5.E shall not apply. 144 Nonrenewal or termination of an instructional, research, or clinical faculty (C) 145 appointment is subject to campus policies and the terms of the individual's letter of 146 offer or employment agreement, which shall align with the framework established 147 in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement. See Article 5.C.3(C). The 148 provisions of Regent Policy 5.E shall not apply. 149 Part D: Faculty Grievance 150 5.D.1 Grievance Rights 151 Tenured and tenure-track faculty members who are denied reappointment, 152 promotion or tenure and believe there have been serious procedural or factual 153 errors in the case, or the denial occurred through the material violation of the Laws 154 of the Regents or Regent Policy, may submit a grievance to the Faculty Senate 155 grievance committee, as specified in Regent Policy 5.G. 156 (B) Tenure and tenure-track faculty members who believe they have been unjustly 157 dismissed for cause may submit a grievance to the Faculty Senate grievance committee, as specified in Regent Policy 5.G. 158 159 (C) Instructional, research, and clinical faculty members who believe they have been 160 denied reappointment or promotion contrary to campus policies, or have been

161 terminated contrary to campus policies, may file a grievanceappeal in accordance 162 with campus policies. See Article 5.C.3(C). The provisions of Regent Policy 5.G 163 shall not apply. 164 (D) Members of the Faculty Senate who believe their academic rights (as provided for 165 in regent law and policy) have been violated may file a grievance with the Faculty 166 Senate grievance committee, as specified in Regent Policy 5.G. 167 (E) Additionally, any university employees afforded academic freedom under Article 5, 168 Part B, who feels their academic freedom has been violated may file a grievance 169 with the Faculty Senate grievance committee, as specified in Regent Policy 5.G. 170 The Faculty Senate grievance committee is authorized by the Board of Regents to (F) 171 investigate, mediate, hear grievances, and make recommendations to the 172 administration on specific grievance cases. 173 Policy 5.A: **Faculty Governance** 174 5.A.1 Principle of Shared Governance 175 (A) Colorado's Constitution vests the Regents of the University of Colorado with the 176 governance and general supervision of the University of Colorado. In accordance with Regent Law (Article 5, Part A), and in recognition of the faculty's role in the 177 178 academic functions of the university, the Board of Regents recognizes the principle 179 of shared governance. 180 Tenured and tenure-track faculty with appropriate participation by instructional, 181 research, and clinical faculty, have the principal responsibility for decisions 182 concerning pedagogy, curriculum, research, scholarly or creative work, academic 183 ethics, and recommendations on the selection and evaluation of faculty. The 184 development of general academic policies shall be a collaborative effort between 185 the faculty and administration. 186 The faculty shall have the principal role in originating academic policy and (1) 187 standards related to: the initiation and direction of all courses, curricula, and 188 degree offerings; admissions criteria, grading and standards for continuation; 189 regulation of student academic conduct; and determination of candidates for 190 honors and degrees. 191 (2) The faculty shall have the principal role in making recommendations for the 192 selection of new faculty. Hiring decisions shall be in accordance with the 193 authority delegated by the Board of Regents. 194 Faculty members of the primary unit shall have principal responsibility for the 195 conduct of annual faculty performance evaluations and post-tenure reviews 196 based on procedures developed in collaboration with the administration, as 197 stated in section 5.A.1(C)(1). 198 Evaluation relating to the reappointment, tenure, and/or promotion of tenured 199 and tenure-track faculty shall follow the procedures defined in Regent Policy 200 5.D.

201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208		Campus, particularly those with clinical responsibilities, the practice of shared governance may differ from the other campuses. The review and evaluation of faculty and how faculty and/or faculty governance groups participate in the preparation of unit budgets may differ from the other campuses and will be incorporated into bylaws, policies and procedures that will be approved at the primary unit, school/college and campus levels using a shared governance process.
209 210 211	(C)	The faculty shall collaborate with the campus and system administration in making recommendations or decisions on faculty personnel policies, administrative leadership, and resource allocation.
212 213 214 215		(1) The process for recommending policies and procedures for faculty appointment, reappointment, promotion, tenure and post-tenure review, and the appeal of decisions in these areas, shall be a collaborative effort between the faculty and administration.
216 217 218 219		(2) Faculty participation in the selection and evaluation of department chairs and school/college administrators below the level of dean shall be in accordance with department and school/college policies, which shall be developed through a shared governance process.
220 221 222 223 224		(3) Faculty participation in the selection of academic administrators at the level of dean or above shall be in accordance with Regent Policy 3.E. Faculty participation in the evaluation of academic administrators at the level of dean or above shall be in accordance with school/college and campus policy, which shall be developed through a shared governance process.
225 226 227 228		(4) In the preparation of campus and system budgets, the administration shall have the principal role, with early collaboration with the appropriate faculty governance group(s), subject to the ultimate authority of the Board of Regents or its designee(s).
229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236		In light of the differences in expectations of faculty at the Anschutz Medical Campus, particularly those with clinical responsibilities, the practice of shared governance may differ from the other campuses. The review and evaluation of faculty and how faculty and/or faculty governance groups participate in the preparation of unit budgets may differ from the other campuses and will be incorporated into bylaws, policies and procedures that will be approved at the primary unit, school/college and campus levels using a shared governance process.
237 238 239	(D)	The faculty shall collaborate with the administration in developing recommendations to the president or Board of Regents on system-level issues concerning the general academic welfare of the university.
240 241 242	(E)	Unless otherwise required by law, the development of new policies or policy changes with respect to matters that directly affect the faculty shall be adopted only after consultation with appropriate faculty governance bodies.

2/	12
	IJ

244

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

Policy 5.B: Academic Freedom

- For the purposes of discussing academic freedom, "the faculty" as referred to in Policy 5.B.1 and 5.B.2, shall mean all those afforded academic freedom under Regent Law 5.B.
- 247 5.B.1 Associated Rights
 - (A) All faculty members, within the scope of their faculty responsibilities, must have freedom to study, learn, and conduct scholarship and creative work within their discipline, and to communicate the results of these pursuits to others, bound only by the control and authority of the rational methods by which knowledge is established in the field. The fullest exposure to conflicting opinions is the best insurance against errorbest method for advancing the state of knowledge is engaging with the broadest range of theories, methodologies, and data.
 - (B) Faculty members shall not be subjected to direct or indirect pressures in an attempt to influence their work in a manner that would conflict with professional standards of the field. The Board of Regents and administration shall not impose such pressures or influence and shall resist such pressures or interference when exerted from outside the university.
 - (C) The appointment, reappointment, promotion of all faculty, and award of tenure to tenure-track faculty, shall not be awarded or denied based on extrinsic considerations such as a faculty member's expression of political, social, or religious views.
 - (D) Subject to the responsibilities identified in section 5.B.2(C), faculty are afforded freedom in achieving the goals of their assigned courses.

5.B.2 Associated Responsibilities

- (A) Faculty members have the responsibility to maintain competence; to devote themselves to developing and improving their teaching, scholarship, research, creative work, clinical activities, writing, and speaking; and to act with integrity, in accordance with the highest standards of their profession.
- (B) While academic freedom affords faculty members wide latitude in defining their scholarly activities, their teaching, scholarship, and creative work shall be assessed by reference to the criteria of the faculty member's primary unit(s).
- (C) Faculty members are responsible for requirements (e.g., course content, topic order, course schedule, assessment mechanisms) specified by responsible faculty bodies, such as curriculum committees.
- (D) Faculty members should be able to justify, in terms of curriculum and student learning, all materials introduced into the classroom.
- (E) All members of the university community shall comply with the standards of ethical conduct stated in Article 1, Part D or Regent Policy 1.C.

281

282	Policy	5.C:	Faculty Appointments
283	5.C.1	Term	ns and Conditions
284 285 286		(A)	The terms and conditions of every faculty appointment shall be stated in writing and be in the possession of both the university and the appointee, whenever possible, before the appointment start date.
287 288		(B)	Faculty titles and a description of responsibilities associated with each title are provided in an Administrative Policy Statement.
289	5.C.2	Tenu	ured and Tenure-Track Faculty Appointments
290 291 292		(A)	Tenured and tenure-track faculty typically engage in teaching, scholarly/creative work, and leadership or service, and where relevant, other activities relative to their specific units (e.g., clinical activity, librarianship).
293 294		(B)	Once tenured, a faculty member holds a continuous appointment until retirement or resignation unless tenure is revoked under provisions of Regent Law or Policy.
295 296		(C)	Only the Board of Regents may award tenure and only the Board of Regents may revoke tenure.
297 298 299 300 301 302		(D)	Tenure resides with the university. The move of a faculty member to a new primary unit on any campus is subject to the approval of the faculty in the receiving primary unit, but does not require reconsideration of tenure by the Board of Regents. No faculty transfer can be mandated if it would result in the loss of tenure. Procedures for transferring a tenured appointment are provided in the corresponding administrative policy statement.
303 304 305		(E)	The process leading to the award of tenure is an evaluation of a faculty member's cumulative performance and is a process that is separate and distinct from the annual performance evaluation.
306 307 308 309 310		(F)	As further detailed in Regent Policy 5.D, a recommendation on tenure shall be made after a defined probationary period and tenure-track faculty shall be evaluated in a comprehensive manner at least once during the probationary period As noted in section 5.D.1(C) of Regent Policy 5.D, exceptions to this requirement have been approved for the Schools of Medicine, Pharmacy, and Public Health.
311 312		(G)	Administrative appointments do not carry the possibility of tenure, but an administrator may hold an additional appointment as a tenured faculty member.
313 314 315 316 317		(H)	After award of tenure, a comprehensive performance evaluation that emphasizes performance-based measurements shall be completed every five years. The purposes of the post-tenure review process are to facilitate continued faculty development, and to ensure professional accountability to the university community, the Board of Regents, and the public.
318 319 320 321		(1)	A sabbatical assignment, which is subject to approval by the Board of Regents, is an important academic scholarship and professional development tool, granted for the advancement of the university, subject to the availability of resources. A tenured faculty member shall become eligible for a sabbatical assignment after six

322 323 324			more than once every seven years. Further information is provided in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
325 326 327 328			(1) In the case of the Anschutz Medical Campus, subject to specific school/college rules, tenure-track faculty and specialty-track members who have attained the ranks of associate professor or full professor are also eligible for sabbatical assignment after six years of service to the university.
329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337		(J)	The title of distinguished professor is extended by the Board of Regents to recognize the outstanding contributions of tenured CU faculty members to their academic disciplines. The faculty awarded this title must demonstrate accomplishments in accordance with the following criteria: (1) excellence in both classroom teaching and supervisionthe promotion of individual learning and student attainment of knowledge and skills; (2) distinguished performance in scholarly/creative work; and (3) outstanding leadership and service to the profession and to CU and/or affiliate institutions. Further information can be found in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
338	5.C.3	Instr	uctional, Research, and Clinical Faculty Appointments
339 340 341 342 343		(A)	As provided in Article 5.C.3(C) of Regent Law, the chancellor of each campus has the responsibility to <u>develop maintain</u> the appropriate policies for the appointment, reappointment, promotion, and termination, <u>and grievance rights</u> of instructional, research, or clinical faculty. Such policies shall be developed in collaboration with the appropriate faculty governance bodies.
344			(1) Appointments are not eligible for tenure.
345 346 347 348			(2) The terms for promotion, reappointment, and termination of instructional, research, and clinical appointments shall be established in a letter of offer or employment agreement. They shall align with the framework established in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
349 350			(3) Annual performance evaluations shall be conducted consistent with Regent Policy 5.C.4(B).
351 352		(B)	A faculty member's workload is negotiated with the hiring unit and shall be delineated in a letter of offer or employment agreement.
353 354 355 356 357 358 359			(1) To accommodate professional development, an instructional, research, or clinical faculty member may negotiate a differential workload. This is meant to recognize the contributions of long-serving faculty and allow them to enhance their professional knowledge, competence, and effectiveness. Such professional development opportunities are subject to the availability of funds Eligibility criteria and application and approval processes are addressed in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement. [APS to be developed]
360 361 362 363		(C)	Campuses are encouraged to provide multi-year contracts to high performing faculty as permitted by state law (C.R.S. 24-19-104(1.5)(d)) and available financial resources. Additional information on eligibility for multi-year contracts is provided in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.

- 5.C.4 Other Terms and Conditions of Faculty Appointments
 - (A) Faculty may hold more than one faculty appointment (e.g., museum faculty) beyond the primary appointment.
 - (B) Annual performance evaluations for all faculty members shall be conducted by each campus, using a peer evaluation process. Consistent with the faculty member's duties, their contribution to teaching, scholarly/creative work, leadership and service, and, where applicable, other activities specific to their unit (e.g., clinical activity, librarianship), shall be evaluated based on written performance standards developed by the faculty of the academic unit (primary unit annual evaluation criteria) and any additional written expectations agreed to by the faculty member and the unit. Teaching evaluations shall use multiple measures, including normed student feedback (e.g. Faculty Course Questionnaires), as further explained in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement. In annual merit evaluations, the assigned workload of a faculty member shall be considered. [Normed Student Evaluation APS to be developed]
 - (1) At the Anschutz Medical Campus, annual faculty evaluation processes may vary from those described in section 5.C.4(B). A peer evaluation process shall be used where appropriate and normed student feedback shall be considered in the evaluation process whenever possible.
 - (C) Tenured and tenure-track faculty workloads may be negotiated consistent with the university's commitment to teaching, scholarly/creative work, leadership and service, and where applicable, other activities specific to a unit (e.g., clinical activity, librarianship) based on individual faculty needs (e.g., career development, tenure and promotion); conventions in particular academic disciplines; academic unit program needs; and the goals and objectives of the school/college and campus.
 - (1) Faculty governance leadership and service shall be considered in the annual merit evaluation as in other evaluation processes. Differential workloads may also be negotiated when faculty governance roles exceed the time normally expected for leadership and service. Appropriate compensation within the limits of system administration and campus policies shall be negotiated for the leadership and service of faculty governance officers and committee chairs.
 - (D) The Board of Regents recognizes that full-time tenured and tenure-track faculty members are expected to dedicate their professional time and effort to the university. However, outside consultation, research, clinical, and other work can serve to keep faculty in contact with real problems in their profession and expand their expertise and thus, to the extent authorized by regent laws and policies, are desirable and legitimate functions.

Subject to university policy on conflicts of interest and commitment, and with the approval of the Dean or Dean's designee, outside consultation, research, clinical, and other work is allowed provided that it does not interfere with the faculty member's performance of assigned university responsibilities and does not involve more than one-sixth of their time (commonly referred to as the "one-sixth rule"). This holds for faculty with both 9-month and 12-month appointments. Faculty members involved in outside work are permitted to receive outside remuneration

410 for this work and shall not suffer a reduction in their regular university salaries. 411 Outside work by faculty members in schools or colleges that have alternative 412 compensation plans approved by the Board of Regents or other contractual 413 requirements limiting their ability to perform services for compensation shall be 414 governed by those plans or contracts. 415 Normally, university facilities, equipment, and resources shall not be used for 416 faculty members' outside work. However, faculty members may make contractual 417 arrangements to rent university facilities at fair-market rates using campus 418 approved procedures. Faculty members shall not use university resources to 419 advertise their availability for private consultation. 420 421 422 Policy 5.D: Reappointment (to a tenure-track position), Tenure, and Promotion 423 5.D.1 Tenure Probationary Period 424 (A) A recommendation on tenure shall be made after a probationary period of 425 continuous full-time or full-time equivalent service as a professor, associate 426 professor, or assistant professor. The probationary period shall not exceed seven 427 years, unless an extension has been approved by the dean and chancellor or the 428 chancellor's designee. If an individual's professional accomplishments warrant, the 429 probationary period may be waived and tenure may be recommended upon hire. 430 (1) A faculty member may apply for leave during their probationary period. 431 The Provost shall decide whether the leave is granted and whether it 432 affects the probationary period (except in the case of Parental Leave, 433 see section 5.D.1(A)(2)). Any change to the probationary period because 434 of leave will be in increments of one year. 435 (2) A faculty member who utilizes parental leave during the tenure 436 probationary period will be granted a one-year extension of the tenure 437 probationary period. A faculty member may irrevocably elect, no later than six months following their return to full-time service, to have the 438 439 leave time count as part of the tenure probationary period. Such an 440 election shall be made in writing and must be approved by the dean and 441 the chancellor. 442 Each tenure-track faculty member shall be evaluated in a comprehensive manner 443 at least once during the tenure probationary period apart from the review for award 444 of tenure. The comprehensive review typically occurs during the fourth year of full-445 time service. The comprehensive review results in one of two outcomes: 446 the faculty member is reappointed to a tenure-track position, or (1) 447 (2) the faculty member is informed that they will be given a one-year terminal 448 appointment and the tenure-track appointment will not be continued. 449 The faculty member shall be informed in writing of the results of the comprehensive 450 review. 451 (C) In the Schools of Medicine, Pharmacy and Public Health, promotion and tenure are

452			sepa	arate processes, but may occur concurrently.
453 454			(1)	
				chancellor, a decision regarding promotion to associate professor shall be
455				made after a maximum probationary period of seven years of continuous full-
456				time service at the rank of assistant professor. Normally, the promotion review
457				of a faculty member will commence at the beginning of the seventh year of
458				service.
459			(2)	School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Public Health tenure-track faculty
460			()	members in the rank of associate professor or professor are eligible for
461				consideration for tenure. There will be no maximum time limit for the award of
462				tenure; however, the faculty member who is turned down for tenure may not
463				be reconsidered for three years.
464			Furt	ther exceptions to the requirements stated in Regent Policy 5.D.1(A) and (B)
465				provided in a corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
466	5.D.2	Star	dards	s for Tenure
			_	
467		(A)		ure may be awarded only to faculty members with demonstrated meritorious
468			perf	formance in each of the three areas of: teaching (or librarianship),
469			scho	olarly/creative work, and leadership and service (to the university, profession
470				/or public); and demonstrated excellence in either teaching, or
471				olarly/creative work.
472			Add	litionally:
473			(1)	In the School of Medicine, tenure may be awarded only to faculty members
474				with national and international reputations for academic excellence who are
475				among the best in their field of academic endeavor and who have
476				demonstrated excellence in scholarship and demonstrated excellence in, and
477				dedication to, teaching (as further defined in the rules of the School of
478				Medicine).
450				
479				Professional/administrative leadership and service and/or clinical activities
480				should be weighed into any decision regarding tenure, but such activities in
481				the absence of significant accomplishments in both teaching and scholarship
482				are not an adequate basis for tenure.
483			(2)	The Colorado School of Public Health may consider in its tenure
484			` ,	recommendations public health practice/clinical activity and scholarly activity,
485				as further defined in its bylaws.
486			(3)	In the School of Pharmacy, tenure may be awarded only to faculty members
487			(-)	who have demonstrated excellence in scholarship and demonstrated
488				excellence in, and dedication to, teaching (as further defined in the
489				appointment, reappointment, promotion and tenure policy of the School of
490				Pharmacy).
491			(4)	Candidates at the University of Colorado Colorado Springs may also be
492			` '	evaluated on professional practice, in which case they shall also demonstrate
493				meritorious performance in that area.
. , ,				

494 495 496		(B)	A recommendation of tenure based on excellence in teaching or scholarly/creative work shall include evidence of impact beyond the institution, as determined in the primary unit criteria. Section 5.D(B)2 and subsection (1) are under further discussion.
497 498 499			(1) For the School of Medicine and the School of Pharmacy, which require excellence in both teaching and scholarship, at least one area, as specified in the primary unit criteria, must show evidence of impact beyond the institution.
500 501 502		(C)	Effort or promise of performance shall not be a criterion for excellence or meritorious performance. Demonstrated performance and outcomes are required for tenure.
503	5.D.3	Prim	ary Unit Criteria for Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion
504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511		(A)	Primary units develop criteria that define the teaching, scholarly/creative work, and leadership and service expectations for faculty, such as expectations for publications, grants for scholarly/creative work, measures of clinical excellence, etc., in terms of their scholarly field(s). These primary unit criteria are reviewed for rigor, fairness, and consistency with regent requirements and are not effective until approved by the dean and provost. In those cases where the primary unit has requested and received Board of Regents approval of specific alternative or additional criteria, those criteria shall be applied in appointment, reappointment, tenure, and promotion decisions.
513 514 515 516 517 518			(1) If new or revised primary unit criteria have been adopted during a faculty member's tenure probationary period, the faculty member may choose to be evaluated for tenure based on the new criteria or the criteria in place at the time of appointment. When a faculty member is evaluated for promotion to full professor, the current primary unit criteria shall apply. See the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
519 520 521 522 523			(a) Faculty members on the Anschutz Medical Campus who are evaluated for promotion to associate professor without a coincident evaluation of tenure may choose to be evaluated for promotion based on the primary unit criteria at the time of appointment or the current primary unit criteria (if revisions have been adopted since the date of appointment).
524 525 526		(B)	The merit of the candidate is the only consideration in recommendations for awarding tenure. The program requirements of the primary unit shall be considered only at the time of appointment and reappointment.
527 528 529		(C)	To be promoted to the rank of Professor (also referred to as "Full Professor"), an individual should have the terminal degree appropriate to their field or its equivalent, and:
530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537			 A record that, taken as a whole, may be judged to be excellent; and A record of significant contribution to graduate and/or undergraduate education, unless individual or departmental circumstances can be shown to require a stronger emphasis, or singular focus, on one or the other; and A record since receiving tenure or promotion to Associate Professor that indicates substantial, significant, and continued growth, development, and accomplishment in teaching, research/creative work, and leadership and service.

538	5.D.4	Ment	pring
539 540 541 542 543			While the candidate is responsible for developing a professional record that warrants tenure, the department/unit and administration have certain obligations to mentor tenure-track faculty and to help them navigate the processes of review (comprehensive review, reappointment, tenure and promotion). Mentoring opportunities will be provided by primary units and/or colleges/schools.
544	5.D.5	Revie	w Process
545 546 547 548 549		` '	The case for reappointment, tenure and promotion of a tenure-track faculty member and promotion of a tenured faculty member is evaluated at multiple levels. The expertise of the primary unit is balanced by the broader perspective introduced at other levels of review. At each stage of the review process, the candidate should be informed of the outcome as expeditiously as possible. Detailed review procedures are provided in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
551 552			(1) The primary unit criteria shall be used at every level of the review process and the criteria shall be included in the candidate's dossier.
553 554 555 556			(2) A decision on reappointment or promotion shall be issued by the chancellor. The chancellor's decision is final, unless a denial of promotion coincides with a denial of tenure, in which case both decisions can be appealed in accordance with section 5.D.6.
557 558 559 560			(3) A decision to recommend or deny tenure shall be issued by the chancellor. The chancellor's decision on tenure is final if the decision is negative. (See section 5.D.6 for information on the appeals process.) The chancellor shall forward positive tenure decisions to the president for review.
561 562			(a) If the president concurs with a recommendation to award tenure, a positive recommendation is issued to the Board of Regents.
563 564			(b) If the president does not concur, the president's decision not to award tenure is final. (See section 5.D.7 for grievance rights.)
565	5.D.6	Appe	al of Decisions Regarding Tenure
566 567 568 569 570		(A)	Within 10 business days of receipt of notification, a candidate denied tenure by the chancellor may request a review by the president. The only grounds for a presidential review are: (1) procedural errors of sufficient magnitude that they may have affected the outcome; (2) factual errors of sufficient magnitude that they may have affected the outcome; or (3) the material violation of the Laws of the Regents or Regent Policy; or some combination of these grounds.
572 573			(1) The president may determine there are no grounds for appeal and uphold the decision to deny tenure. In this circumstance, the case is closed.
574			(2) If the president determines there are grounds for an appeal:
575 576 577			(a) The president may remand the case to the campus to rectify errors and require the chancellor to then revise or reaffirm the original recommendation.

578 579			(b) The president may overrule the campus decision and recommend tenure to the Board of Regents.
580 581 582 583			(c) The president may convene a faculty advisory committee to review the case and issue a recommendation. Ultimately, the president will either make the final decision to deny tenure or will recommend tenure to the Board of Regents.
584	5.D.7	Griev	ance Rights
585 586 587 588 589 590		(A)	If a candidate is denied reappointment, promotion, or tenure and believes that there have been serious procedural or factual errors in the case, or the denial occurred through the material violation of the Laws of the Regents or Regent Policy, the candidate may submit a grievance to the Faculty Senate grievance committee in accordance with Regent Policy 5.G. A grievance may not be filed until all available administrative appeals have been exhausted.
591			
592	Policy	5.E:	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty Dismissal for Cause
593	5.E.1	Gen	eral Provisions
594 595 596		(A)	In accordance with Regent Law (Article 5, Part C), a faculty member with a tenured or tenure-track appointment may be terminated at any time during the appointment for one of the following reasons:
597 598 599 600 601 602			(1) Demonstrable professional incompetence; conviction, whether by a plea or a verdict of guilty or following a plea of nolo contendere, for any felony or any offense involving moral turpitude; violation of university policies pertaining to discrimination, sexual misconduct, or fiscal misconduct; violation of the weapons control policy; material or repeated neglect of duty; or other conduct that falls below minimum standards of professional integrity.
603 604 605 606		(B)	No faculty member with a tenured or tenure-track appointment shall be dismissed for cause without the opportunity to be heard according to the provisions of this policy (5.E). The provisions of this policy only apply to tenured and tenure-track faculty.
507 508		(C)	Termination of a tenured appointment under the provisions of this policy is contingent upon the subsequent revocation of tenure by the Board of Regents.
509 510 511 512		(D)	A tenured or tenure-track faculty member who has been dismissed for cause, or who has been notified that dismissal for cause proceedings will be initiated, has the right to file a grievance with the Faculty Senate grievance committee, according to the procedures specified in Regent Policy 5.G.
513	5.E.2	Disn	nissal for Cause Procedures
614 615		(A)	A recommendation to dismiss a faculty member for cause shall be issued by the dean and the provost to the chancellor.
616 617		(B)	When dismissal for cause is being recommended, the faculty member shall be given written notice as far in advance as possible of the contemplated action and

618	the energific reasons the action is being considered. Such notice shall inform the
619	the specific reasons the action is being considered. Such notice shall inform the faculty member of the right to file a grievance.
620 621	(3) If the faculty member elects to file a grievance, the filing and investigation shall follow the processes and timelines specified in Regent Policy 5.G.
622 623	(D)(B) The chancellor shall take action on the recommendation of the dean and the provost to dismiss the faculty member.
624 625 626 627 628 629 630	(1) If the case was investigated by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, the chancellor shall review the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of that committee. Within 10 business days of receipt of the final report from the committee, the chancellor's decision shall be provided in writing to the faculty member, committee chair, and panel chair. The chancellor shall give substantial weight to the committee's recommendation and include a rationale for any decision that is inconsistent with the recommendation.
631 632	(1) If the chancellor disagrees with the recommendation of the dean and provost to dismiss, the decision is final.
633 634 635 636	(2) If the chancellor concurs with the recommendation to dismiss, the faculty member shall be given written notice of the decision. The written notice shall state the specific reasons the action is being taken and shall inform the faculty member of the right to file a grievance within 10 business days.
637 638 639	(a) If the faculty member elects to file a grievance, the filing and investigation shall follow the processes and timelines specified in Regent Policy 5.G.
640 641 642 643 644 645 646	(3) If the case was investigated by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, the chancellor shall review the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of that committee. Within 10 business days of receipt of the final report from the committee, the chancellor's final decision shall be provided in writing to the faculty member, committee chair, and panel chair. The chancellor shall give substantial weight to the committee's recommendation and include a rationale for any decision that is inconsistent with the recommendation.
647 648 649 650 651	(2)(4) If the chancellor concurs with the recommendation of the dean and provost and approves dismissal, and the chancellor maintains the original decision to dismiss, and the case involves a tenured faculty member, the action to dismiss shall be contingent upon the revocation of tenure by the Board of Regents. See section 5.E.3.
652 653	(3)(5)When dismissal is recommended approved by the chancellor, the faculty member shall have the right to appeal to the president.
654 655 656	(a) If the faculty member requests a presidential review, an appeal must be filed with the Office of the President within 20 business days of being notified of the chancellor's decision to dismiss.
657 658	(E)(C) If the faculty member appeals the chancellor's decision to dismiss, the president shall review the merits of the case.
659 660	(1) The faculty member shall be provided an opportunity to present their case to the president.
661 662	(2) The chancellor shall have an opportunity to respond to the faculty member's presentation.

663 664 665 666		(3)	If the case has been reviewed by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, the panel chair or designee shall be the spokesperson for the committee before the president. The president shall consider and give substantial weight to any recommendation from the Faculty Senate grievance committee.
667 668		(4)	The president shall have an opportunity to ask questions but, ordinarily, the president will not hear new evidence.
669 670		(5)	The president may decide to adopt the chancellor's recommendation to dismiss for cause or may end the action against the faculty member.
671 672			 (a) If the president disagrees with the recommendation to dismiss, the decision is final.
673 674 675 676			(b) If the president concurs with the chancellor's recommendation and approves dismissal, and the case involves a tenured faculty member, the action to dismiss shall be contingent upon the revocation of tenure by the Board of Regents. See section 5.E.3.
677 678 679			(c) If the president concurs with the chancellor's recommendation and approves dismissal, and the case involves a tenure-track faculty member, the president's decision is final and the case shall be closed.
680 681 682 683 684 685		(6)	If the case has been reviewed by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, within 10 business days of the final decision, the president's decision shall be provided in writing to the faculty member, chancellor, committee chair, and panel chair. If the president and panel do not concur, the president's recommendation to the Board shall include the reasons for the president's disagreement with the panel.
686	5.E.3 Tenu	re Re	vocation
687 688 689	(A)	of te	dismissal for cause case involves a faculty member with tenure, the revocation enure, based on the case for dismissal for cause, is subject to approval by the rd of Regents upon recommendation by the president.
690 691 692		(1)	If the president recommends tenure revocation, the faculty member shall be notified concurrently with the transmittal of the recommendation to the Board of Regents.
693 694 695		(2)	All supporting documentation shall be forwarded to the Board of Regents. The president's recommendation shall include the rationale for tenure revocation.
696	(B)	Actio	on by the Board of Regents
697 698 699 700 701		(1)	The chair of the Board of Regents shall notify the faculty member when the board receives a presidential recommendation for tenure revocation. If the case has been reviewed by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, the chair of the Board of Regents shall also notify the panel chair of the president's recommendation for tenure revocation.
702 703 704 705 706 707		(2)	Within 20 business days of receiving notice from the chair of the Board of Regents, the faculty member shall be given an opportunity to respond in writing to the president's recommendation to revoke tenure. In that response, the faculty member shall indicate if they request a hearing before the board. If the faculty member requests a hearing before the board, the hearing shall be held in executive session.

708 709		(a) The faculty member shall be provided an opportunity to present their case to the Board of Regents during the hearing.
710 711		(b) The administration shall have an opportunity, as directed by the board, to respond to the faculty member's presentation.
712 713 714		(c) If the case has been reviewed by the Faculty Senate grievance committee, the panel chair or designee shall be the spokesperson for the committee before the Board of Regents.
715 716 717		(d) The members of the board shall have an opportunity to ask questions of the faculty member, the administration, and the panel chair but, ordinarily, the board will not hear new evidence.
718 719		(3) Upon conclusion of the hearing before the board and after consideration of all of the information provided to it, the board shall take action.
720 721		(a) The board may decide to adopt the president's recommendation to revoke tenure or may end the action against the faculty member.
722 723		(b) If the board votes to revoke tenure, the board may, at its discretion, also vote to grant one year of severance pay.
724 725		(c) In taking any action, the board shall consider any recommendation from the Faculty Senate grievance committee.
726		(4) The board's action, which shall be taken in a public meeting, is final.
727		
728	Policy 5.F:	Termination of Faculty Appointments Following Program Discontinuance
	•	
	•	
729	•	demic Unit or Degree Program Discontinuance
729 730 731 732	•	
730 731	5.F.1 Acad	demic Unit or Degree Program Discontinuance In accordance with Regent Policy 4.B.4, the Board of Regents may discontinue an academic unit or degree program for educational, strategic, realignment, resource
730 731 732 733 734	5.F.1 Acad	demic Unit or Degree Program Discontinuance In accordance with Regent Policy 4.B.4, the Board of Regents may discontinue an academic unit or degree program for educational, strategic, realignment, resource allocation, or financial reasons, or a combination of these reasons. (1) Termination of faculty appointments, including tenured and tenure-track appointments, may occur as the result of formal discontinuance of an
730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737	5.F.1 Acad	demic Unit or Degree Program Discontinuance In accordance with Regent Policy 4.B.4, the Board of Regents may discontinue an academic unit or degree program for educational, strategic, realignment, resource allocation, or financial reasons, or a combination of these reasons. (1) Termination of faculty appointments, including tenured and tenure-track appointments, may occur as the result of formal discontinuance of an academic unit or degree program. Upon a decision by the Board of Regents to terminate an academic unit or degree program, the chancellor shall develop a plan for discontinuance, including the
730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741	5.F.1 Acad	In accordance with Regent Policy 4.B.4, the Board of Regents may discontinue an academic unit or degree program for educational, strategic, realignment, resource allocation, or financial reasons, or a combination of these reasons. (1) Termination of faculty appointments, including tenured and tenure-track appointments, may occur as the result of formal discontinuance of an academic unit or degree program. Upon a decision by the Board of Regents to terminate an academic unit or degree program, the chancellor shall develop a plan for discontinuance, including the disposition of faculty appointments. (1) Recommendations Decisions on termination of appointments of individual faculty members due to degree program or academic unit discontinuance will be made by the chancellor in consultation with appropriate faculty members

747 748 749 750	(E)	One year's formal notice will be provided to full-time (1.0 FTE)given to all instructional, research, and clinical faculty members whose appointments are to be terminated, with-provided they have at least seven years of continuous half-time (0.5 FTE) service to the university whose appointments are to be terminated.
751	(F)	Obligations to Tenured Faculty
752 753 754 755		(1) Unless there is a compelling academic reason to do otherwise, no tenured faculty member will be considered for termination until the appointments of faculty members in the unit without tenure have been considered for termination.
756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766		(2) Before terminating a tenured appointment due to program discontinuance, reasonable efforts will be made to find another suitable position for the faculty member within the university. Inter-departmental or inter-campus transfers may be made only if mutually acceptable. Retraining for faculty members during the notice year should be provided under the sponsorship of the campus where the program is discontinued if, in the judgment of the campus and the faculty member, such retraining will prepare the faculty member for another suitable University of Colorado position. Any retraining apportunities shall not interfere with the faculty member's assigned teaching and other professional obligations during the notice year. Faculty members meeting age and service requirements as specified in university policy have the option to retire.
768 769 770 771		(3) After exhaustion of efforts to find another suitable position within the university, a tenured appointment may be terminated with applicable severance pay. Faculty members who elect to resign or retire from the university are not eligible to receive severance pay.
772 773 774 775		(a) Upon termination, severance pay equal to the individual's base salary for one academic year will be provided to faculty members with 9- month contracts and one fiscal year to faculty members with 12-month contracts.
776 777 778 779 780		(4) If a program is reinstated within three years at the campus where it was discontinued, tenured faculty members whose appointments were terminated will have a right to reinstatement with tenure, provided positions are available and the position is substantially similar in responsibilities to the one previously held by the faculty member.
781	(G)	Rights of All Faculty
782 783 784 785 786 787		(1) A faculty member who is terminated for reasons of program discontinuance will be eligible to participate in the university group insurance program for 18 months following the date of termination under the conditions of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA) if the faculty member is enrolled in the university's group insurance program at the time of termination.
788 789		(2) Faculty members will be provided counseling regarding employment opportunities outside of the university if they request it.

790 791 792 793			(3) A faculty member whose appointment is terminated for reasons of program discontinuance has the right to appeal to the Faculty Senate grievance committee under established policies and procedures. See Regent Policy 5.G.3(A)(3).
794 795		(H)	Detailed procedures on program discontinuance can be found in the corresponding Administrative Policy Statement.
796			
797	Policy	5.G:	Faculty Grievance
798	5.G.1	Griev	vance Rights
799 800 801 802		(A)	As provided in Article 5, Part D, and further articulated in Regent Policy 5.E, a faculty member on a tenured or tenure-track appointment who is facing dismissal for cause has the right to file a grievance with the Faculty Senate grievance committee.
803 804 805 806 807 808		(B)	As provided in Article 5, Part D, and further articulated in Regent Policy 5.D, any tenured or tenure-track faculty member who is denied reappointment, tenure, or promotion and believes that there have been serious procedural or factual errors in the case, or the denial occurred through the material violation of the Laws of the Regents or Regent Policy, may file a grievance with the Faculty Senate grievance committee.
809 810 811 812		(C)	As provided in Article 5, Part D, any member of the Faculty Senate may file a grievance for perceived violations of their academic rights (as delineated in Regent Law or policy), including, but not limited to, those arising during an annual evaluation or post-tenure review process.
813 814		(D)	As provided in Article 5, Part D, any individual afforded the right of academic freedom, may file a grievance for perceived violations of academic freedom.
815	5.G.2	Facu	Ilty Senate Authority to Hear Grievances
816 817 818 819 820		(A)	The authority granted to the Faculty Senate grievance committee by the Board of Regents is the result of a collaborative process between the faculty, administration, and regents to ensure an appropriate role for faculty governance in disciplinary actions taken against faculty members and in addressing violations of a faculty member's academic freedom or academic rights.
821 822 823 824		(B)	The Faculty Senate grievance committee shall be constituted as provided in the Faculty Senate constitution and shall investigate, mediate and hear grievances submitted by members of the faculty and make recommendations to the administration on specific grievance cases, consistent with regent law and policy.
825 826 827 828		(C)	The committee shall have the authority to develop its internal administrative rules consistent with regent law and policy. The policies and procedures of the Faculty Senate grievance committee shall be provided in the Faculty Senate constitution and bylaws.
829	5.G.3	The F	Faculty Senate Grievance Process

830	(A)	Juris	diction
831		(1)	The jurisdiction of the Faculty Senate grievance committee is expressly
832		(·)	limited to the review of those grievances described in section 5.G.1.
			•
833		(2)	The committee shall not substitute its judgment about an individual's
834			academic merit for that of other authorized committees and administrators.
835			The committee shall only consider whether proper procedures were followed.
836		(3)	In cases involving faculty personnel decisions resulting from program
837			discontinuance, the committee shall not consider the validity of the program
838			discontinuance decision. The committee shall consider only whether proper
839			procedures were followed in taking these personnel actions.
940		(4)	In disciplinary matters, the committee shall consider the marite of the matter
840		(4)	In disciplinary matters, the committee shall consider the merits of the matter
841			before it, as well as the process.
842		(5)	The investigation of certain matters may be reserved to another entity or
843		(5)	official within the University of Colorado by law or university policy, such as
844			the investigation of allegations of discrimination and harassment by the
845			relevant campus office that deals with matters of equity and discrimination,
846			the investigation of allegations of research misconduct by the Standing
847			Committee on Research Misconduct, or the investigation of fiscal misconduct
848			by the Internal Audit Department. In those cases, the Faculty Senate
849			grievance committee shall defer to those offices to complete their
850			investigations and shall be bound by the factual and policy determinations of
851			those investigations absent reliable evidence that those determinations
852			resulted from: (1) material and prejudicial error during the course of the
853			investigation; (2) manifest bias upon the part of the investigating official or
854			entity; or (3) fraud, misrepresentation or misconduct by a party to the
855			proceedings. In making these determinations, the Faculty Senate grievance
856			committee shall not consider new evidence challenging the factual and policy
857			determinations except for evidence that could not, in the exercise of
858			reasonable diligence, have been presented in the earlier proceedings. The
859			Faculty Senate grievance committee shall not consider a grievance until
860			these processes are completed. The Faculty Senate grievance committee is
861			not bound by the recommendation of sanctions made by any investigating
862			official or entity and may propose alternative sanctions to the administration
863			or Board of Regents.
864		(6)	The recommendations of the Esculty Senate gricyance committee shall be
865		(6)	The recommendations of the Faculty Senate grievance committee shall be considered before final action is taken; however, the ultimate authority rests
866			with the administration or Board of Regents. In all cases, a report shall be
867			issued to the grievance committee chair that identifies the final action taken
868			and the rationale for such action.
000			and the fationale for Such action.
869	(B)	Time	Limits for Filing a Grievance
870	(1)	Dism	issal for Cause Grievance Filings
871			(a) If the administration is initiating dismissal for cause proceedings to
872			terminate a tenured or tenure-track faculty member, the faculty member
873			shall be given written notification and may request, within 10 business

874 875 876 877 878 879	matter to the Faculty Senate grievance committee. Upon receipt of such a request, the president or chancellor shall refer the matter to the grievance committee within 5 business days. If the individual concerned does not request referral to the grievance committee within 10 business days, the faculty member shall be deemed to have forfeited the right to such proceedings.
381	(b) If the faculty member files a grievance, they may respond in writing to
382	the notice of intent to dismiss, contesting the grounds for dismissal. If
383	the faculty member elects to respond, the response shall be provided to
384	the grievance committee chair no later than 20 business days after
385	receipt of the notice of the intent to dismiss.
386	(2) All other Grievance Filings
887 888 889 890	(a) In cases involving promotion, tenure, or non-reappointment, grievance statements must be received by the grievance committee chair within 60 calendar days following the faculty member's receipt of written notification of final action by the chancellor or other person with final administrative authority over the matter at issue.
892	(b) In cases involving suspension and other disciplinary actions, excluding
893	dismissal for cause, grievance statements must be received by the
894	grievance committee chair within 30 calendar days after the faculty
895	member's receipt of written notification of the action.
896	(c) In cases of alleged violations of academic freedom or academic rights,
897	grievance statements must be received by the grievance committee
898	chair within 30 calendar days after the faculty member is advised of the
899	administrative action or decision, which is the basis of the complaint.
900	(d) The time for filing a grievance may be extended by the grievance
901	committee chair for up to an additional 60 calendar days if the faculty
902	member is not reasonably able to file the grievance within the prescribed
903	period. Verification of the faculty member's inability to file may be
904	required.
905	(e) The grievance committee chair shall notify the chancellor, in writing, that
906	a grievance has been filed. This notification shall occur within 10
907	business days of receiving the grievance request.
908	(3) In all cases, the faculty member's receipt of notification is determined by:
909	(a) the date of delivery, if the notice is sent by personal delivery;
910	 (b) the date acknowledged by signature on a receipt, if the notice is sent
911	by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, or by
912	alternative delivery service, with signature required for delivery;
913	(c) 5 business days following the mailing date, if the notice is sent by U.S.
914	Postal Service to the last address on record;
915	(d) 5 business days following the shipping date, if the notice is sent by
916	alternative delivery service to the last address on record.
917	(C) Grievance Proceedings

918 919 920 921 922	(1)	In order to provide for the expeditious review of grievances, grievants and administrators shall cooperate by providing current contact information, by making themselves available during investigations and hearings as requested by the committee, and by providing relevant documents. A failure to cooperate shall be documented and considered in the evaluation of the case.
923 924 925	(2)	The faculty member shall be permitted to have counsel and the opportunity to present and question witnesses according to the rules of procedure established by the grievance committee.
926 927 928 929	(3)	In dismissal for cause grievance cases, the administration shall bear the burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence. In all other grievance cases, the faculty member shall bear the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.
930 931 932	(4)	In accordance with the confidentiality expected of the grievance process and as permitted by law, all parties in the grievance process and the committee members shall maintain the confidentiality of the grievance proceeding.
933 934 935	(5)	Mediation shall be available during the grievance process as a means of resolving faculty grievances. Mediation shall not unreasonably delay the resolution of a case.
936 937 938	(6)	If the grievance committee chair determines that the Faculty Senate grievance committee does not have jurisdiction over the case, the chair shall close the case and notify all parties.
939 940 941 942	(7)	If an investigative panel of the grievance committee concludes that there are no reasonable grounds for believing that a violation of academic rights, privileges or tenure have occurred, the chair shall close the case and notify all parties.
943 944 945	(8)	If, at any point during the grievance process, the faculty member and the administration reach a resolution, the grievance committee chair shall close the case.
946 947 948	(9)	A faculty member who files a grievance may withdraw the complaint at any time during the grievance process, at which point the committee chair shall close the case.
949	5.G.4 Findings	and Recommendations
950	(A) Grievance	e Cases Involving Dismissal for Cause
951 952 953	(1)	At the conclusion of grievance proceedings, the Faculty Senate grievance committee will share its final report with all involved parties and forward its findings and recommendations to the president or chancellor.
954 955 956 957		(a) Findings and recommendations shall be sent to the president or chancellor within 90 business days of referral to the faculty grievance committee chair, unless an extension has been granted by the president or chancellor.

958	(2	2) Decisions shall be made in accordance with Regent Policy 5.E.
959	(B) All Oth	er Grievance Cases
960 961	(At the conclusion of grievance proceedings, the grievance committee chair will share the committee's findings and final recommendations with all parties.
962 963 964		(a) Findings and recommendations shall be sent to the chancellor no more than 180 calendar days after the grievance statement has been received by the grievance committee chair.
965 966 967 968 969	(:	2) The chancellor shall provide a response to the committee chair within 10 business days of receiving the findings and recommendations. The chancellor's response shall address each of the grievance committee's recommendations, indicating what action the chancellor intends to take in response to the recommendations and an explanation for such action.
970 971 972		(a) If the grievance committee determines that the chancellor's response satisfactorily addresses the committee's recommendations, the chair shall notify all parties and close the case.
973 974 975 976		(b) If the grievance committee is not satisfied with the chancellor's response, the committee chair shall, within 10 business days, report the disagreement and the basis thereof and forward a copy of the record of the case to the president for review.
977		(c) The president's decision is final.
978 979 980 981	<u>(</u> :	3) If the grievance case relates to the denial of tenure by the president (following a positive recommendation by the chancellor), the president shall consider the recommendations of the grievance committee, but retains authority for the final decision.
982 983 984 985	(3)(4)Upon the final resolution of a case, the president shall provide the Faculty Senate grievance committee chair with a written report of the final action taken. The report shall include a detailed rationale for actions inconsistent with the grievance committee's recommendation.
986 987 988	ď	n all cases, the chancellor, president, or Board of Regents shall take such action leemed to be in the best interests of the university and in accordance with regent aws, regent policies, and applicable federal and state laws, rules and regulations.
989		