



1800 Grant Street, Suite 800  
Denver, Colorado 80203  
(303) 860-5600  
Fax: (303) 860-5640

**University of Colorado  
Design Review Board  
Minutes**

---

**Minutes of the Meeting of October 09, 2012**

The University Design Review Board met on Tuesday, October 09, 2012, in the Jennie Smoly Caruthers Biotechnology Building – Linfield Executive Conference Room (A400).

DRB members present were: John Prosser, Don Brandes, Jerry Seracuse, Victor Olgvay, Andre Vite, and Teresa Osborne (ex officio).

**Consent Agenda – CU Anschutz Medical Campus**

Andre Vite:

- Gave a brief introduction of the project, described the overall process of eliminating waste byproduct(s), the need for a larger designated dumpster area, and the process for selecting the preferred area.

Don Brandes:

- Suggested the design team check the curb cuts to avoid future issues with drainage and pooling.
- Recommended researching the use of a screen wall and plant material to mask the dumpster.

John Prosser:

- Suggested the implementation of a vegetated wall around the service/utility building directly east of the proposed dumpster area.

Jerry Seracuse:

- Clarified that if the proposed design were indeed implemented, the curb cut would also be present.

Motion for approval – Don Brandes seconded the motion. The board unanimously approved and thanked the design team for their progress on this project.

---

## CU Boulder - Baker Hall Renovation

Architect(s): Aller-Lingle-Massey Architect (ALM) with Whiting-Turner Contracting.

Presenter(s): Brad Massey, ALM; Henry Ehrgott, Whiting-Turner.

Individuals present: Tom Goodhew, Facilities Planning; Richelle Reilly, Campus Landscape Architect; Philip Simpson, Director of Facilities Planning; William Arndt, CU Facilities Management; Heidi Roge, Project Manager for CU Housing and Dining Services, Wayne Northcutt, Facilities Planner; Curt Huetson, Director of Facilities Planning & Operations. Louise Vale, Vice Chancellor for Administration; Steve Thweatt, Facilities Management; David Lingle, ALM; Matt Newman, ALM; Jason Messaros, BHA; and Sean Convery, BCER.

Brad Massey: Described the progression that has been made since the August meeting.

- Discussed the programmatic need for extensive research into the ground floor excavation.
- Noted the progression in researching window material(s).
- Addressed the need to meet life safety and accessibility code requirements for all internal spaces.
- Noted the concern of privacy issues with windows on the ground floor.

Dave Lingle:

- Discussed the matrix created specifically for this project and noted the importance of the elements.
- Noted elements of the building that are not compliant with historic preservation standards.

Jason Messaros:

- Reviewed site context, existing conditions, and vicinity maps.
- Discussed typical flow patterns throughout the site.
- Noted primary, secondary, and emergency access points.
- Discussed social diagram description(s) for all courtyards – programmatic uses.
- Described elemental changes that are recommended to the north entry courtyard to maximize socialization and limit straight access through the series of courtyards.
- Noted the desire to change the existing asphalt material on Baker Drive to concrete to promote pedestrian use.
- Described the two window types being considered for implementation.
- Described the south entry's excavation plan to expose the lower level and increase light penetration.

Don Brandes:

- Commended the design team on their hard work and overall progression.
- Physiographic characteristics – sun, wind, shade – how will these affect the site throughout the year.
- Stated each courtyard needs a different developmental characteristic and design.

- Recommended the use of simple overlays to illustrate the intent of emotions evoked.
- Campus in its entirety – how does this play into the overall functionality and context of the campus?
- Recommended the design team explore several different designs for Baker Drive including: alleys, bosques, green space, etc.

Jerry Seracuse:

- Expressed the importance of section graphics to thoroughly understand how each design concept will integrate holistically – visually and physically.
- Suggested the design team conduct sun/shade studies to understand seasonal usage.
- Expressed concern with mixing different window materiality and features with the existing architecture.

Victor Olgay:

- Hierarchy of spaces – east side needs more research to thoroughly understand.
- Recommended the design team keep researching window materiality to meet optimal energy goals.

John Prosser:

- Noted the conflict with the proposed landscape butted up against the building.
- Commended the design team on their progression on the overall design – specifically the south entry.
- Voiced his preference on option 2 for the use of the window wells on the north.
- Thanked the design team for their hard work.

No formal decisions were made. The Board thanked the design team for their hard work and progression on the design.

---