

### **AGENDA**

# **University Design Review Board**

Thursday, June 6, 2013

## 1800 Grant Street (Denver) #502 & #503

8:00 – 9:30 Study Session – General

9:30 – 11:15 Study Session – *CU-Boulder* (Lunch)

11:15 – 12:00 SEEC Design Development

Architect(s): Klipp Architecture Presenter(s): Northcutt/Faber

Description: Requesting Design Development approval for the Phase I Wet

Lab Building, including: revised massing (3 floors vs. 4 floors) due to elimination of the Campus Data Center, and surrounding

landscape/hardscape areas.

12:15 – 1:15 POD J Development Schematic Design

Architect(s): Davis Partnership

Presenter(s): Brian Erickson, Davis Partnership

Description: This project is proposed for POD J by a private development

manager (NexCore Group), in conjunction with CUREF as the owner for a known tenant (University of Colorado Hospital Authority). The proposed building is approximately 52,220

43,077 (corrected) GSF.

1:30 – 1:45 Study Session - *UCCS* 

1:45 – 2:45 Academic Office Building (AOB)

Architect(s): SlaterPaull

Presenter(s): Jennifer Cordes and Gwen Gilley, SlaterPaull; Gary Reynolds

and Carolyn Fox, UCCS

Description: Final details for DD approval of the AOB.

#### Materials and detailing were reviewed

## DRB approved DD with comments

**VO** – Sunshades need to be further studied to ensure that the most effective design and placement are obtained for daylight throughout the day. Victor offered several examples of possible solutions.

- Louvered exterior shade is not a good light reflector but works well as a shade.
- Focus on heat gain next to conference room areas can use a perforated material
- Can move the light shade distance from window to adjust light light shelves should be deeper to avoid shade use.

**Candy Roberts and Rick Epstein** – brick detailing needs changes in plane - brick around windows should project to provide a better visual line.

3:00 – 3:30 Study Session – Anschutz Medical Campus

3:30 – 4:30 Rocky Mountain Lions Eye Institute

Architect(s): Davis Partnership

Presenter(s): Hugh Brown & Kevin Scott (Davis)

Description: Davis Group will be presenting two new schemes for schematic

approval.

Andre Vite, campus architect, provided a summary of design work completed since the last DRB meeting. He noted that the current proposal was not a complete submittal for schematic design approval. Two concepts were submitted for the board to review

Hugh Brown, Davis partnership, noted that the energy model completion is dependent upon the consultant selection.

Victor Olgyay – summarized the DRB comments regarding the two design concepts

- The concepts in the armature design are good and moving in the right direction
- Proportion and ground level is good but the canopy needs more study
- The signage should be incorporated into the building rather than applied symbols
- The glass and brick variation need further study
  - o the façade should reflect the interior use
  - o design needs a rhythm within the glass; the use of stone could be reduced
  - the brick/stone needs more texture
- The glass should come through the armature natural ventilation will promote health
- Need to see your sustainability goals

#### Candy Roberts

- Need a better articulation of the glass façade
- Material on wall should be reflected through entryway
- Louvered version on entryway is not as successful
- A welcoming entryway is desired the width of the colonnade could be varied
- The stone should have a texture and articulation.

# Rick Epstein

- Identify ways to break down glass and reflect interior uses.
- Canopy needs more study
- Could have a larger dimension for walkway
- Texture of stone area is important

Don Brandes - Interior/exterior connections could be better

- Plaza, walkway, seating areas are important
  - Materials need to relate to architecture
- Need to anchor both sides of building
- Planting materials are good

4:30 – 5:30 Monumental Signage

Architect(s): Stanley Consultants
Presenter(s): Andre Vite (OIP)

Description: Revised drawings illustrating designers' responses to previous

comments will be presented.

Don Brandes – slope of the top of the sign needs to accommodate water drainage.

Use of landscape materials reviewed

Monument construction drawings are acceptable.